

Bath & North East Somerset Council

DECISION MAKER:	Cllr Charles Gerrish, Cabinet Member for Service Delivery	
DECISION DATE:	On or after 27th November 2010	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE:
		E2176
TITLE:	Claremont Road & Southbourne Gardens Bath Prohibition of Waiting Order	
WARD:	Walcot, Lambridge	
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM		
List of attachments to this report: Appendix 1 - Consulation		

1 THE ISSUE

- 1.1 To consider the points raised in response to the advertised TRO proposals during the 21 day advert period which began on 29th July 2010. These points are contained within the appendix to this report

2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet member is asked to agree that:

- 2.1 The proposals be withdrawn.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 All costs incurred in relation to implementing this scheme will be met by the developer.

4 CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- Improving transport and the public realm

5 THE REPORT

5.1 The implementation of these proposals would be funded by the developer following a planning approval to construct 10 dwellings and access road off Southbourne Gardens and Claremont Road.

5.2 The visibility at the Southbourne Gardens/Claremont Road junction can be significantly hindered by parked vehicles. The proposals herein will remove parked vehicles around the junction and the proposed relocation of the "Give Way" line would overcome visibility problems.

5.3 The proposals will displace parking into the surrounding area.

5.4 One of the conditions of the Planning appeal was that no development shall commence until the measures subject to this proposal have been confirmed in writing and that no part of the development shall be occupied until the works have been implemented.

5.5 Should the proposals be reduced in effect to Claremont Road (west side from a point 10 metres south of Southbourne Gardens for a distance of 24 metres), this would provide visibility for drivers at this junction whilst maximising 'on-street' parking for local residents. This modification is recommended.

5.6 Further planning issues have recently come to light which impact on the development. It is not possible to estimate how long it will take to resolve these issues. Therefore it is recommended that the proposals be withdrawn and when resolved further instructions be made to re advertise the proposals.

6 RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 The report author and Cabinet member have fully reviewed the Risk Assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

7 EQUALITIES

7.1 There are no equalities issues in relation to these proposals.

8 RATIONALE

8.1 It is considered that the proposals whilst not supported by a large group of residents would, if modified to reduce their effect, improve safety for road users in general and in particular the local residents who use this access road at present.

9 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

9.1 None

10 CONSULTATION

10.1 Ward Councillors; Service Users; Local Residents; Stakeholders/Partners; Section 151 Finance Officer.

10.2 The proposals were advertised on site for a period of 21 days and an advert placed in the Public Notice section of the Bath Chronicle. The resultant comments are entered in the attached appendix to this report

11 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION

11.1 Customer Focus; Property; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations

12 ADVICE SOUGHT

12.1 The Council's Monitoring Officer (Council Solicitor) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

Contact person	Dorothy Miley 01225 394231
Background papers	Nil
Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format	